

Written materials relating to an item on this agenda that are distributed to the legislative bodies within 72 hours before the item is to be considered at its regularly scheduled meeting will be made available for public inspection at the Library, 251 South "A" Street and the City Clerk's Office, 300 West Third Street 4th Floor during customary business hours. Any materials to be presented at this meeting will be made available electronically 24 hours prior to the meeting, at the end of this agenda. The agenda reports are on the City of Oxnard web site at www.oxnard.org.



AGENDA
OXNARD CITY COUNCIL
Council Chambers, 305 West Third Street
February 7, 2018
Special Meeting – 6:00 PM

A. ROLL CALL / POSTING OF AGENDA

B. OPENING CEREMONIES

Pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States.

C. PUBLIC HEARING

City Attorney Department

1. SUBJECT: Public Hearing Regarding Draft Maps for Possible City Council Districts.
(30/30/30)

RECOMMENDATION: That City Council hold the fifth public hearing regarding the districting process for City Council elections and receive public input regarding the five recommended focus maps, each of which proposes six City Council districts.

Legislative Body: CC

Contact: Stephen M. Fischer

Phone: 385-7483

D. ADJOURNMENT

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you require special assistance to participate in a meeting, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 385-7803. Notice at least 24 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to reasonably arrange for your accessibility to the meeting.

City of Oxnard internet address: www.oxnard.org.



**CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA REPORT**

TYPE OF ITEM: Public Hearing

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 1

DATE: February 7, 2018

TO: City Council

FROM: Stephen Fischer
City Attorney

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "S. Fischer".

SUBJECT: Public Hearing Regarding Draft Maps for Possible City Council Districts.
(30/30/30)

CONTACT: Stephen Fischer, City Attorney
Stephen.Fischer@oxnard.org, 385-7483

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council hold the fifth public hearing regarding the districting process for City Council elections and receive public input regarding the five recommended focus maps, each of which proposes six City Council districts.

BACKGROUND

Historically, the City has elected its Councilmembers through an at-large election system. Under this system, candidates for the City Council can reside anywhere in the City and are elected by the registered voters of the entire City. (The Mayor is separately elected, but is also elected through an at-large election system.)

The City received a letter dated October 7, 2017 claiming that the City's current method of electing the City Council through at-large elections violates the California Voting Rights Act ("CVRA"). The letter alleges that the City's elections "may be occurring by polarized voting," and threatens litigation if the City declines to adopt a district-based election system. A district-based election system is one in which a city is divided into separate districts and a councilmember is elected from each district by registered voters residing in that district.

The CVRA (Elections Code Section 14025 *et seq.*) was adopted in 2002, and is based upon the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 ("FVRA") with some important differences that make at-large election systems much more susceptible to legal challenge. For a plaintiff to be successful

Public Hearing Regarding Draft Maps for Possible City Council Districts

February 7, 2018

Page 2

in a claim of violation under the FVRA relating to at-large elections, the plaintiff must show that: 1) a minority group is sufficiently large and geographically compact to form a majority of the eligible voters in a single-member district; 2) there is racially-polarized voting; and 3) there is “white bloc voting” (the term used by the courts reviewing such cases) sufficient usually to prevent minority voters from electing candidates of their choice. If a plaintiff proves these three elements, then the federal court will consider whether, under the “totality of circumstances,” the votes of minority voters are diluted by the at-large election system.

The CVRA removes two of these factors. It eliminates what is known as the “geographically compact” FVRA precondition (e.g., can a majority-minority district be drawn) as well as the “totality of the circumstances” or “reasonableness” test. Because the CVRA eliminates some of the elements that a plaintiff must prove, defending a lawsuit brought pursuant to the CVRA is substantially more difficult to defend against than a claim under the FVRA. As a result of the lower threshold for proving a claim under the CVRA, many jurisdictions have voluntarily switched to district-based election systems instead of facing litigation.

Because of the low standards necessary for a plaintiff to prevail in CVRA litigation, every public entity defendant since the CVRA was enacted in 2002 has either lost in court or settled. To date, every government defendant has ultimately been forced to pay at least some portion of the plaintiff’s attorney fees and costs. Awards in contested CVRA cases have reportedly ranged from approximately \$400,000 to over \$4,500,000. For example, in February 2015, the City of Santa Barbara reportedly paid \$800,000 in attorney’s fees and expert costs to settle its CVRA lawsuit. Another example is the City of Palmdale, which incurred expenses in excess of \$4.5 million.

Although there is a case pending in federal court challenging the constitutionality of the CVRA (*Higginson v. Xavier Becerra and the City of Poway*; Case No. 17 CV2032 WQHJLB, filed October 4, 2017), it is not anticipated that this litigation will be resolved during the so-called “safe harbor” period described below. Some cities involved in the case by filing amicus (friend of the court) briefs are still moving forward with the districting process while this federal litigation is ongoing. If the federal court issues an injunction against the enforcement of the CVRA prior to the City considering the adoption of districts, then the City can consider its options at this time. However, the federal court has given no indication when it will take any action in this matter.

Because of claims of abuses by some plaintiff’s attorneys in CVRA cases, the Legislature adopted AB 350 in 2016 to place a “safe harbor” cap of a maximum of \$30,000 on attorney’s fees that a plaintiff would be entitled to recover if the target city, within 45 days of receipt of the plaintiff’s properly served demand letter, adopts a Resolution of Intent to consider an ordinance to establish a district-based election system, and then adopts such an ordinance within 90 days following the date it adopted the Resolution of Intent.

That 90-day period to adopt the ordinance includes the following steps:

Public Hearing Regarding Draft Maps for Possible City Council Districts

February 7, 2018

Page 3

- 1) Prior to drawing a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts, the City Council would hold at least two public hearings over a period of no more than 30 days, at which time the public will be invited to provide input regarding the composition of the districts. (Election Code §10010(a)(1).)
- 2) After the draft maps are drawn, the City would publish and make available for release at least one draft map and, if members of the City Council will be elected in their districts at different times to provide for staggered terms of office, the potential sequence of the elections would also be published. (Election Code §10010(a)(2).)
- 3) The City Council would hold at least two additional public hearings over a period of no more than 45 days, at which the public shall be invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft map or maps and the proposed sequence of elections, if applicable. (*Id.*)
- 4) The first version of a draft map is required to be published at least seven days before consideration at a public hearing. If a draft map is revised at or following a public hearing, it is required to be published and made available to the public for at least seven days before being adopted. (*Id.*)

At its November 27, 2017 meeting, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 15,068, which indicated the City's intent to consider transitioning from at-large elections to district elections for members of the City Council pursuant to Elections Code Section 10010.

On December 12, 2017, the City Council held the first of two public hearings over a period of no more than 30 days for the public to provide input regarding the composition of the proposed City Council districts. Under state law, these public hearings are required to occur prior to the City's drawing of a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts.

At the December 12, 2017 City Council meeting, demographer Robert McEntire from National Demographics, the firm selected to provide demographic services relating to the drawing of the districts, provided an overview of the districting process and previewed the online interactive system that allow the public to draw and submit proposed districting plans for the City Council's consideration. A total of 14 members of the public also provided public comments at the December 12, 2017 City Council meeting relating to this matter.

At the January 3, 2018 special City Council meeting held at the South Oxnard Community Center, demographer Douglas Johnson from National Demographics provided an overview of the districting process and the tools that are available that allow the public to draw and submit proposed districting plans for the City Council's consideration. A total of 25 members of the public also provided public comments at the January 3, 2018 special City Council meeting.

As of the January 5, 2018 deadline to submit maps, a total of 65 draft maps were submitted by members of the public and not withdrawn. In addition, the City's demographer prepared two additional maps for the City Council's consideration. Of the maps submitted, 39 maps met the

Public Hearing Regarding Draft Maps for Possible City Council Districts

February 7, 2018

Page 4

basic qualifications of number of districts (four, six or eight), contiguous district boundaries, and population balanced. In addition, one partial map was also submitted based upon a six-district map, with only one district drawn. PDFs of these maps can be viewed online at <https://www.oxnard.org/draft-district-maps> and using an online interactive viewer at <https://ndcresearch.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=0d19c1c2b61d44b280602d1ab0bab890>.

At the January 17, 2018 special City Council meeting, demographer Douglas Johnson gave an overview of the draft district maps that had been submitted by the January 5 deadline, and provided recommendations on the suggested four-district, six-district and eight district focus maps. Sixteen members of the public provided public comments regarding the maps.

In addition, the City held a Community Workshop on January 22, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Oxnard Performing Arts and Convention Center's Hueneme Room to discuss the districting process and draft maps. The Inter-Neighborhood Council Organization (INCO) also held a special meeting on January 25, 2018 at 7:00 p.m. at City Council Chambers to discuss the districting process and the draft maps.

At the January 29, 2018 special City Council meeting, demographer Douglas Johnson provided an overview of the suggested four-district, six-district and eight district focus maps. After sixteen members of the public provided public comments, the City Council reached a consensus that the Council should focus its consideration on six-district maps. Members of the City Council then identified a total of five maps (616, 617, 626, 627 and 635) that they wished to focus on for future consideration.

ANALYSIS

Tonight's public hearing will be the fifth of six public hearings that will be held regarding the districting process. At this public hearing, the City Council will focus on five different maps (616, 617, 626, 627 and 635) for possible adoption. Each of these maps proposes six City Council districts.

The public can review these maps in detail online at <https://www.oxnard.org/draft-district-maps> or using the City's online interactive tool at <http://www.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=0d19c1c2b61d44b280602d1ab0bab890> so that they can provide input to the City Council regarding which map or maps they believe would best serve the public's interest.

The final scheduled public hearing will include the proposed sequencing of elections for each of the districts, in addition to a discussion regarding the maps. The final public hearing is scheduled for February 20, 2018 at the City Council Chambers.

The City Council is scheduled to hold a public hearing on February 27, 2018 to consider an ordinance designating districts and the timing of elections in each district (i.e., either November

2018 or November 2020). If a majority of the City Council votes for the ordinance, the City Council will consider the second reading and adoption of the ordinance the following week. If adopted, it is anticipated that the new City Council districts would not become effective until the November 2018 election, with the specific date on which a councilmember would be elected from each new district provided for in the ordinance.

STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no specific financial impact from tonight's public hearing, although the consideration of transitioning to a district-based election system will take significant staff time and involves the use of a demographer to attend specific public hearings and provide online public outreach tools included in the scope of services.

ATTACHMENTS


Attachment A - Five Focus Maps (616, 617, 626, 627 and 635) with demographic information.

ATTACHMENTS:

Five Focus Maps (616, 617, 626, 627 and 635) with demographic information

City of Oxnard 2018 Districting

Map 616 6-District map




National Demographics Corporation, January 8, 2018

City of Oxnard - Map 616 demographics								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	32,111	34,072	31,404	34,270	33,415	32,633	197,905
32,984	Deviation from ideal	-873	1,088	-1,580	1,286	431	-351	2,866
	% Deviation	-2.65%	3.30%	-4.79%	3.90%	1.31%	-1.06%	8.69%
Total Pop	% Hisp	56%	77%	65%	81%	85%	76%	74%
	% NH White	32%	14%	22%	7%	7%	8%	15%
	% NH Black	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	6%	4%	9%	9%	6%	13%	8%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,901	17,635	19,996	15,204	14,643	13,381	101,758
	% Hisp	44%	65%	56%	72%	74%	53%	60%
	% NH White	42%	24%	28%	12%	11%	19%	24%
	% NH Black	6%	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	6%	11%	12%	12%	21%	11%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	16,652	13,101	15,512	12,522	11,650	10,267	79,705
	% Latino est.	46%	68%	55%	78%	84%	72%	65%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%	6%	3%
	% NH White est.	44%	24%	30%	12%	10%	17%	25%
	% NH Black	5%	3%	7%	3%	1%	3%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	12,681	9,394	11,638	8,581	8,045	6,941	57,281
	% Latino	44%	65%	53%	78%	83%	70%	63%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	6%	3%
	% NH White est.	47%	27%	32%	12%	11%	19%	27%
	% NH Black	5%	4%	8%	3%	1%	3%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	7,103	4,934	5,493	3,973	3,983	3,449	28,934
	% Latino	35%	54%	43%	73%	74%	60%	53%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	8%	3%
	% NH White est.	56%	36%	42%	16%	17%	25%	35%
	% NH Black est.	5%	6%	7%	4%	2%	4%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	32,029	34,167	35,206	35,021	34,467	32,706	203,596
Age	age0-19	26%	32%	31%	33%	33%	34%	32%
	age20-60	56%	53%	57%	57%	56%	55%	56%
	age60plus	18%	15%	12%	10%	11%	11%	13%
Immigration	immigrants	23%	33%	29%	44%	45%	46%	37%
	naturalized	47%	36%	45%	36%	36%	31%	37%
Language spoken at home	english	52%	34%	43%	22%	17%	23%	32%
	spanish	43%	63%	49%	71%	75%	65%	61%
	asian-lang	3%	3%	6%	6%	6%	10%	6%
	other lang	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	18%	30%	23%	38%	43%	42%	32%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	53%	52%	53%	46%	45%	47%	49%
	bachelor	17%	11%	17%	9%	7%	9%	12%
	graduatedegree	9%	3%	7%	4%	1%	2%	5%
Child in Household	child-under18	30%	42%	44%	48%	48%	46%	42%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	62%	60%	65%	62%	60%	60%	62%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	21%	14%	17%	15%	20%	16%
	income 25-50k	18%	26%	20%	26%	26%	30%	24%
	income 50-75k	22%	20%	19%	19%	24%	21%	21%
	income 75-200k	44%	29%	41%	35%	33%	28%	36%
	income 200k-plus	6%	3%	5%	4%	3%	1%	4%
Housing Stats	single family	79%	63%	67%	76%	83%	66%	72%
	multi-family	21%	37%	33%	24%	17%	34%	28%
	rented	36%	55%	44%	48%	36%	52%	45%
	owned	64%	45%	56%	52%	64%	48%	55%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.								
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.								
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.								

City of Oxnard 2018 Districting

Map 617 6-District map




National Demographics Corporation, January 8, 2018

City of Oxnard - Map 617 demographics								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	32,111	34,308	32,501	32,776	32,816	33,393	197,905
32,984	Deviation from ideal	-873	1,324	-483	-208	-168	409	2,197
	% Deviation	-2.65%	4.01%	-1.46%	-0.63%	-0.51%	1.24%	6.66%
Total Pop	% Hisp	56%	62%	73%	89%	79%	82%	74%
	% NH White	32%	24%	12%	6%	8%	7%	15%
	% NH Black	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	6%	9%	11%	2%	11%	8%	8%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,901	22,681	17,874	12,204	14,903	13,194	101,758
	% Hisp	44%	55%	63%	79%	64%	64%	60%
	% NH White	42%	29%	18%	14%	15%	15%	24%
	% NH Black	6%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	10%	14%	2%	17%	15%	11%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	16,652	17,525	13,619	9,992	11,958	9,960	79,705
	% Latino est.	46%	53%	70%	84%	79%	77%	65%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	3%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%
	% NH White est.	44%	33%	19%	10%	12%	14%	25%
	% NH Black	5%	7%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	12,681	13,265	9,579	6,769	8,300	6,686	57,281
	% Latino	44%	50%	69%	83%	77%	76%	63%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	3%
	% NH White est.	47%	35%	20%	11%	14%	15%	27%
	% NH Black	5%	8%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	7,103	6,607	4,526	3,242	4,208	3,248	28,934
	% Latino	35%	40%	62%	77%	68%	67%	53%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	4%	1%	7%	5%	3%
	% NH White est.	56%	46%	26%	16%	20%	22%	35%
	% NH Black est.	5%	7%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	32,029	38,131	34,161	31,956	33,590	33,729	203,596
Age	age0-19	26%	30%	32%	34%	32%	35%	32%
	age20-60	56%	56%	58%	53%	55%	55%	56%
	age60plus	18%	14%	10%	12%	12%	10%	13%
Immigration	immigrants	23%	26%	38%	44%	46%	45%	37%
	naturalized	47%	46%	44%	28%	37%	30%	37%
Language spoken at home	english	52%	47%	30%	19%	19%	21%	32%
	spanish	43%	47%	61%	78%	70%	70%	61%
	asian-lang	3%	5%	8%	2%	9%	7%	6%
	other lang	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	18%	21%	29%	42%	41%	43%	32%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	53%	53%	53%	44%	48%	44%	49%
	bachelor	17%	18%	12%	5%	8%	7%	12%
	graduatedegree	9%	7%	5%	2%	1%	2%	5%
Child in Household	child-under18	30%	41%	48%	45%	43%	51%	42%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	62%	65%	64%	59%	60%	59%	62%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	15%	11%	27%	16%	19%	16%
	income 25-50k	18%	21%	19%	33%	29%	27%	24%
	income 50-75k	22%	20%	18%	20%	21%	23%	21%
	income 75-200k	44%	39%	46%	18%	32%	29%	36%
	income 200k-plus	6%	5%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Housing Stats	single family	79%	65%	83%	58%	80%	68%	72%
	multi-family	21%	35%	17%	42%	20%	32%	28%
	rented	36%	46%	38%	65%	38%	51%	45%
	owned	64%	54%	62%	35%	62%	49%	55%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.								
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.								
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.								

City of Oxnard 2018 Districting

Map 626 6-District map




National Demographics Corporation, January 7, 2018

City of Oxnard - Map 626 demographics								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	33,251	32,793	32,596	32,634	33,281	33,350	197,905
32,984	Deviation from ideal	267	-191	-388	-350	297	366	754
	% Deviation	0.81%	-0.58%	-1.18%	-1.06%	0.90%	1.11%	2.29%
Total Pop	% Hisp	55%	64%	67%	84%	82%	88%	74%
	% NH White	33%	22%	16%	6%	6%	6%	15%
	% NH Black	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	7%	8%	12%	8%	9%	3%	8%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,639	21,022	19,502	13,624	12,828	13,144	101,758
	% Hisp	43%	55%	60%	70%	68%	75%	60%
	% NH White	43%	30%	21%	13%	13%	11%	24%
	% NH Black	5%	4%	3%	4%	2%	5%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	10%	14%	13%	16%	7%	11%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	16,689	16,482	14,849	11,205	10,396	10,085	79,705
	% Latino est.	43%	53%	66%	84%	79%	86%	65%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	3%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%
	% NH White est.	47%	31%	23%	9%	12%	9%	25%
	% NH Black	5%	8%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	12,730	12,506	10,552	7,740	6,891	6,862	57,281
	% Latino	41%	51%	65%	82%	78%	86%	63%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	3%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%
	% NH White est.	50%	34%	25%	10%	13%	9%	27%
	% NH Black	6%	8%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	7,080	6,306	4,989	3,720	3,473	3,366	28,934
	% Latino	32%	41%	56%	76%	68%	79%	53%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	4%	5%	6%	2%	3%
	% NH White est.	59%	45%	32%	15%	19%	15%	35%
	% NH Black est.	5%	8%	5%	2%	4%	3%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	33,309	35,877	35,162	32,945	33,313	32,989	203,596
Age	age0-19	26%	30%	31%	33%	35%	34%	32%
	age20-60	56%	55%	59%	55%	55%	55%	56%
	age60plus	18%	15%	10%	13%	10%	11%	13%
Immigration	immigrants	23%	26%	34%	49%	45%	43%	37%
	naturalized	49%	46%	48%	32%	33%	26%	37%
Language spoken at home	english	53%	46%	35%	15%	20%	20%	32%
	spanish	41%	48%	55%	76%	71%	76%	61%
	asian-lang	4%	5%	8%	7%	8%	3%	6%
	other lang	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	17%	22%	25%	46%	43%	43%	32%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	55%	53%	56%	43%	47%	42%	49%
	bachelor	17%	16%	15%	7%	7%	5%	12%
	graduatedegree	9%	7%	6%	1%	2%	1%	5%
Child in Household	child-under18	31%	41%	46%	43%	50%	51%	42%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	62%	63%	66%	59%	59%	61%	62%
Household Income	income 0-25k	14%	18%	9%	19%	20%	18%	16%
	income 25-50k	19%	22%	19%	30%	28%	28%	24%
	income 50-75k	21%	17%	21%	20%	22%	24%	21%
	income 75-200k	41%	39%	46%	28%	28%	25%	36%
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	5%	2%	1%	3%	4%
Housing Stats	single family	71%	66%	77%	76%	74%	73%	72%
	multi-family	29%	34%	23%	24%	26%	27%	28%
	rented	44%	47%	39%	46%	48%	50%	45%
	owned	56%	53%	61%	54%	52%	50%	55%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.								
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.								
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.								

City of Oxnard 2018 Districting

Map 627 6-District map




National Demographics Corporation, January 7, 2018

City of Oxnard - Map 627 demographics								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	33,185	33,070	33,237	33,200	32,362	32,851	197,905
32,984	Deviation from ideal	201	86	253	216	-622	-133	875
	% Deviation	0.61%	0.26%	0.77%	0.65%	-1.89%	-0.40%	2.65%
Total Pop	% Hisp	56%	84%	62%	78%	78%	83%	74%
	% NH White	32%	10%	24%	9%	8%	7%	15%
	% NH Black	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	6%	3%	8%	10%	11%	8%	8%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,390	15,283	21,775	16,226	14,175	12,910	101,758
	% Hisp	43%	75%	54%	69%	62%	66%	60%
	% NH White	43%	16%	31%	13%	16%	14%	24%
	% NH Black	6%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	4%	10%	14%	18%	15%	11%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	16,920	11,619	16,511	13,171	11,550	9,934	79,705
	% Latino est.	46%	77%	52%	76%	78%	78%	65%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	4%	5%	4%	3%
	% NH White est.	45%	16%	33%	15%	13%	13%	25%
	% NH Black	5%	3%	7%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	12,912	8,082	12,474	9,187	7,983	6,642	57,281
	% Latino	43%	76%	50%	75%	76%	77%	63%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	2%	4%	6%	4%	3%
	% NH White est.	48%	18%	35%	15%	14%	14%	27%
	% NH Black	5%	3%	8%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	7,161	4,154	6,251	4,252	3,918	3,197	28,934
	% Latino	34%	65%	40%	70%	67%	68%	53%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	2%	4%	7%	5%	3%
	% NH White est.	57%	26%	45%	19%	21%	21%	35%
	% NH Black est.	5%	5%	8%	4%	3%	4%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	33,164	32,671	37,140	34,563	32,954	33,103	203,596
Age	age0-19	26%	33%	30%	32%	33%	35%	32%
	age20-60	56%	54%	56%	58%	55%	55%	56%
	age60plus	18%	14%	13%	10%	12%	10%	13%
Immigration	immigrants	23%	37%	26%	43%	46%	46%	37%
	naturalized	47%	32%	46%	40%	37%	29%	37%
Language spoken at home	english	52%	26%	47%	24%	20%	20%	32%
	spanish	43%	71%	47%	68%	69%	71%	61%
	asian-lang	4%	3%	5%	8%	9%	7%	6%
	other lang	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	18%	36%	21%	36%	41%	45%	32%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	53%	49%	53%	48%	47%	44%	49%
	bachelor	17%	7%	18%	10%	9%	7%	12%
	graduatedegree	9%	2%	7%	5%	1%	1%	5%
Child in Household	child-under18	31%	44%	42%	49%	43%	52%	42%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	62%	59%	65%	63%	60%	60%	62%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	22%	15%	14%	16%	20%	16%
	income 25-50k	19%	29%	20%	22%	30%	27%	24%
	income 50-75k	21%	21%	21%	18%	20%	23%	21%
	income 75-200k	43%	25%	40%	42%	33%	28%	36%
	income 200k-plus	6%	3%	5%	5%	2%	2%	4%
Housing Stats	single family	76%	66%	65%	82%	79%	68%	72%
	multi-family	24%	34%	35%	18%	21%	32%	28%
	rented	39%	56%	45%	41%	39%	53%	45%
	owned	61%	44%	55%	59%	61%	47%	55%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.								
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.								
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.								


City of Oxnard 2018 Districting

Map 635 6-District map



National Demographics Corporation, January 10, 2018

City of Oxnard - Map 635 demographics								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	32,446	32,405	33,679	32,087	33,092	34,196	197,905
32,984	Deviation from ideal	-538	-579	695	-897	108	1,212	2,109
	% Deviation	-1.63%	-1.76%	2.11%	-2.72%	0.33%	3.67%	6.39%
Total Pop	% Hisp	44%	72%	78%	85%	74%	87%	74%
	% NH White	40%	18%	9%	9%	8%	7%	15%
	% NH Black	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%
	% Asian-American	10%	5%	10%	2%	15%	4%	8%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	23,679	19,255	16,409	13,836	15,383	13,196	101,758
	% Hisp	38%	61%	69%	74%	57%	73%	60%
	% NH White	45%	27%	13%	17%	16%	13%	24%
	% NH Black	6%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	10%	7%	14%	4%	22%	9%	11%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	18,732	14,298	13,436	10,955	12,210	10,074	79,705
	% Latino est.	38%	61%	76%	79%	73%	84%	65%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	3%
	% NH White est.	50%	27%	14%	14%	16%	10%	25%
	% NH Black	7%	6%	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	14,769	10,287	9,367	7,627	8,492	6,738	57,281
	% Latino	36%	58%	75%	78%	72%	83%	63%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	3%
	% NH White est.	52%	29%	15%	15%	17%	11%	27%
	% NH Black	7%	6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	8,673	4,701	4,342	3,687	4,232	3,299	28,934
	% Latino	27%	50%	71%	71%	63%	74%	53%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	2%	4%	2%	8%	3%	3%
	% NH White est.	61%	38%	19%	21%	23%	18%	35%
	% NH Black est.	7%	6%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	33,640	35,449	35,059	30,928	33,821	34,699	203,596
Age	age0-19	25%	32%	32%	33%	33%	35%	32%
	age20-60	56%	56%	58%	54%	55%	55%	56%
	age60plus	20%	12%	10%	13%	12%	10%	13%
Immigration	immigrants	21%	28%	43%	37%	45%	46%	37%
	naturalized	60%	37%	39%	30%	39%	28%	37%
Language spoken at home	english	61%	41%	24%	25%	22%	19%	32%
	spanish	32%	55%	68%	72%	66%	75%	61%
	asian-lang	5%	4%	8%	2%	11%	5%	6%
	other lang	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	14%	25%	36%	36%	40%	45%	32%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	53%	54%	48%	48%	50%	42%	49%
	bachelor	21%	15%	10%	6%	9%	7%	12%
	graduatedegree	11%	4%	5%	2%	2%	1%	5%
Child in Household	child-under18	31%	42%	49%	44%	43%	52%	42%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	63%	63%	59%	59%	61%	62%
Household Income	income 0-25k	10%	19%	14%	21%	15%	20%	16%
	income 25-50k	16%	23%	22%	29%	29%	27%	24%
	income 50-75k	20%	22%	18%	21%	22%	22%	21%
	income 75-200k	47%	34%	42%	25%	33%	29%	36%
	income 200k-plus	7%	2%	5%	3%	1%	2%	4%
Housing Stats	single family	78%	58%	82%	69%	77%	72%	72%
	multi-family	22%	42%	18%	31%	23%	28%	28%
	rented	35%	55%	42%	54%	41%	48%	45%
	owned	65%	45%	58%	46%	59%	52%	55%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.								
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.								
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.								



City of Oxnard

Consideration of By-District Elections

February 7, 2018



Summary of Maps

2

- ❑ 72 maps submitted, 7 withdrawn
- ❑ 37 met legal requirements, plus 2 created by demographer
- ❑ 20 qualifying maps contained six districts
- ❑ 5 selected by Council as the “focus” of discussion
 - ❑ 616
 - ❑ 617
 - ❑ 626
 - ❑ 627
 - ❑ 635



Focus Maps 616 and 617

3



Focus Maps 626 and 627

4



Remaining Steps

6

- ❑ Public Hearing and possible additional direction tonight
- ❑ Public Hearing, final map selection, and election sequencing for districts on February 20
- ❑ Council considers and introduces ordinance February 27
- ❑ Second reading March 6